F-QUASIGROUPS AND GENERALIZED MODULES

TOMÁŠ KEPKA*, MICHAEL K. KINYON, AND J. D. PHILLIPS

ABSTRACT. In [3], we showed that every F-quasigroup is linear over a special kind of Moufang loop called an NK-loop. Here we extend this relationship by showing an equivalence between the equational class of (pointed) F-quasigroups and the equational class corresponding to a certain notion of generalized module (with noncommutative, nonassociative addition) for an associative ring.

1. Introduction

A quasigroup (Q, \cdot) is a set Q with a binary operation $\cdot : Q \times Q \to Q$, denoted by juxtaposition, such that for each $a, b \in Q$, the equations ax = b and ya = b have unique solutions $x, y \in Q$. In a quasigroup (Q, \cdot) , there exist transformations $\alpha, \beta : Q \to Q$ such that $x\alpha(x) = x = \beta(x)x$ for all $x \in Q$. Now (Q, \cdot) is called an F-quasigroup if it satisfies the equations

$$x \cdot yz = y \cdot \alpha(x)z$$
 and $zy \cdot x = z\beta(x) \cdot yx$

for all $x, y, z \in Q$.

If (Q, \cdot) is a quasigroup, we set $M(Q) = \{a \in Q : xa \cdot yx = xy \cdot ax, \ \forall x, y \in Q\}$. If (Q, \cdot) is an F-quasigroup, then M(Q) is a normal subquasigroup of Q and Q/M(Q) is a group [3, Lemma 7.5].

We denote by \mathcal{F}_p the equational class (and category) of pointed F-quasigroups. That is, \mathcal{F}_p consists of ordered pairs (Q, a), where Q is an F-quasigroup and $a \in Q$. We put $\mathcal{F}_m = \{(Q, a) \in \mathcal{F}_p : a \in M(Q)\}$.

A quasigroup with a neutral element is called a *loop*. Throughout this paper, we adopt an additive notation convention (Q, +) (with neutral 0) for loops, although we do not assume that + is commutative. The *nucleus* of a loop (Q, +) is the set

$$N(Q,+) = \{ a \in Q : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a+x) + y = a + (x+y) \\ (x+a) + y = x + (a+y) \\ (x+y) + a = x + (y+a) \end{array} \right\}, \forall x, y \in Q \}.$$

The Moufang center is the set

$$K(Q,+) = \{ a \in Q : (a+a) + (x+y) = (a+x) + (a+y), \ \forall x, y \in Q \}.$$

Date: February 2, 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20N05.

Key words and phrases. F-quasigroup, Moufang loop, generalized modules.

*Partially supported by the institutional grant MSM 113200007 and by the Grant Agency of Charles University, grant #269/2001/B-MAT/MFF.

The intersection of the nucleus and Moufang center of a loop is the center $Z(Q, +) = N(Q, +) \cap K(Q, +)$. Each of the nucleus, the Moufang center, and the center is a subloop, and the center is, in fact, a normal subloop [1, 5].

A (Q, +) will be called an NK-loop if for each $x \in Q$, there exist $u \in N(Q, +)$ and $v \in K(Q, +)$ such that x = u + v = v + u. In other words, Q can be decomposed as a central product Q = N(Q, +) K(Q, +). It was shown in [3] that every NK-loop is a Moufang A-loop. A Moufang loop is a loop satisfying the identity ((x + y) + x) + z = x + (y + (x + z)) or any of its known equivalents [1, 5]. Every Moufang loop is diassociative, that is, the subloop generated by any given pair of elements is a group [4]. For a loop (Q, +), the inner mapping group is the stabilizer of 0 in the group of permutations of Q generated by all left and right translations $L_x y = x + y = R_y x$. An A-loop is a loop such that every inner mapping is an automorphism [2].

In any Moufang A-loop (Q, +), such as an NK-loop, the nucleus N(Q, +) is normal (in fact, this is true in any Moufang loop), and Q/N(Q, +) is a commutative Moufang loop of exponent 3. In particular, for each $x \in Q$, $3x \in N(Q, +)$, where 3x = x + x + x. The Moufang center K(Q, +) is also normal in Q (but this is not necessarily the case in arbitrary Moufang loops), and Q/K(Q, +) is a group [3, Lemma 4.3]. In an NK-loop (Q, +), we also have Z(Q, +) = Z(N(Q, +)) = K(N(Q, +)) = Z(K(Q, +)) = N(K(Q, +)). In addition, $K(Q, +) = \{a \in Q : a + x = x + a \ \forall x \in Q\}$.

The connection between F-quasigroups and NK-loops was established in [3].

Proposition. For a quasigroup (Q, \cdot) , the following are equivalent:

- 1. (Q, \cdot) is an F-quasigroup.
- 2. There exist an NK-loop (Q, +), $f, g \in Aut(Q, +)$, and $e \in N(Q, +)$ such that $x \cdot y = f(x) + e + g(y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$, fg = gf, and $x + f(x), x + g(x) \in N(Q, +), -x + f(x), -x + g(x) \in K(Q, +)$ for all $x \in Q$.

We refer to the data (Q, +, f, g, e) of the proposition as being an arithmetic form of the F-quasigroup (Q, \cdot) . If (Q, a) is a pointed F-quasigroup in \mathcal{F}_p , then there is an arithmetic form such that a = 0 is the neutral element of (Q, +).

The purpose of this paper is to extend the connection between (pointed) F-quasigroups and NK-loops further by showing an equivalence of equational classes between \mathcal{F}_p and a certain notion of generalized module for an associative ring. Thus the study of (pointed) F-quasigroups effectively becomes a part of ring theory. The generalization we require weakens the additive abelian group structure of a module to an NK-loop structure.

Definition. Let R be an associative ring, possibly without unity. A generalized (left) R-module is an NK-loop (Q, +) supplied with an R-scalar multiplication $R \times Q \to Q$ such that the following conditions are satisfied: for all $a, b \in R$, $x, y \in Q$, $z \in N(Q, +)$, and $w \in K(Q, +)$,

1. a(x+y) = ax + ay,

- $2. \qquad (a+b)x = ax + bx,$
- 3. a(bx) = (ab)x,
- 4. $ax \in K(Q, +),$
- 5. $az \in N(Q, +), and$
- 6. there exists an integer m such that $mw + aw \in Z(Q, +)$.

Here $mw = w + \cdots + w$ (m terms) is unambiguous by diassociativity.

If Q is a generalized R-module, then define the annihilator of Q to be $Ann(Q) = \{a \in R : aQ = 0\}$. Clearly, Ann(Q) is an ideal of the ring R.

In order to state our main result, we need to describe a particular ring. Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$ be the polynomial ring in four commuting indeterminates $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}$, and \mathbf{v} over the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers. Put $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{v}$, so that \mathbf{R} is the ideal generated by the indeterminates. Clearly, \mathbf{R} is a free commutative and associative ring (without unity) freely generated by the indeterminates.

Let \mathcal{M} denote the equational class (and category) of generalized **R**-modules Q such that:

- 1. $2z + \mathbf{x}z \in N(Q, +), 2z + \mathbf{y}z \in N(Q, +) \text{ for all } z \in Q,$
- 2. $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u} \in \text{Ann}(Q)$, and
- 3. $\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ann}(Q)$.

Further, let \mathcal{M}_p be the equational class (and category) of pointed objects from \mathcal{M} . That is, \mathcal{M}_p consists of ordered pairs (Q, e), where $Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $e \in Q$. Put $\mathcal{M}_n = \{(Q, e) \in \mathcal{F}_p : e \in N(Q, +)\}$, the equational class (and category) of nuclearly pointed objects from \mathcal{M} , and put $\mathcal{M}_c = \{(Q, e) \in \mathcal{F}_p : e \in Z(Q, +)\}$, the equational class (and category) of centrally pointed objects from \mathcal{M} .

Our main result is the following equivalence between pointed F-quasigroups and generalized R-modules.

Main Theorem. The equational classes \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{M}_n are equivalent. The equivalence restricts to an equivalence between \mathcal{F}_m and \mathcal{M}_c

2. Quasicentral endomorphisms

In this section, let (Q, +) denote a (possibly non-commutative) diassociative loop. We endow the set $\mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$ of all endomorphisms of (Q, +) with the standard operations of addition, negation, and composition, viz, for $f, g \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$, f+g is defined by (f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), -f is defined by (-f)(x) = -f(x) = f(-x), and fg is defined by fg(x) = f(g(x)) for all $x \in Q$.

An endomorphism f of (Q, +) is called *central* if $f(Q) \subset Z(Q, +)$. We denote the set of all central endomorphisms of (Q, +) by $\mathcal{ZE}nd(Q, +)$. The verification of the following result is easy and omitted.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{ZE}nd(Q, +)$ be given. Then:

- 1. $f + g \in \mathcal{ZE}nd(Q, +)$,
- 2. f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h and f + g = g + f,
- 3. the zero endomorphism of (Q, +) is central,

- $4. -f \in \mathcal{ZE}nd(Q, +),$
- 5. f + (-f) = 0 and f + 0 = f.
- 6. $fg \in \mathcal{ZE}nd(Q, +)$,

Corollary 2.2. $\mathcal{ZE}nd(Q,+)$ is an associative ring (possibly without unity) with respect to the standard operations.

Let m be an integer. An endomorphism f of (Q, +) is called m-quasicentral if $mx + f(x) \in Z(Q, +)$ for all $x \in Q$ (in which case mx + f(x) = f(x) + mx). An endomorphism is called quasicentral if it is m-quasicentral for at least one integer m. We denote by $\mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +)$ the set of all quasicentral endomorphisms of (Q, +). The following is an obvious consequence of these definitions.

Lemma 2.3. 1. An endomorphism is 0-quasicentral if and only if it is central,

- 2. $\mathcal{ZE}nd(Q,+) \subset \mathcal{QE}nd(Q,+)$, and
- 3. the identity automorphism, Id_Q , of (Q, +) is (-1)-quasicentral.

Lemma 2.4. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$.

- 1. If f is m-quasicentral and g is n-quasicentral, then fg is (-mn)-quasicentral.
- 2. If $f, g \in \mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +)$, then $fg \in \mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +)$.

Proof. For (1): Fix $x \in Q$. Since f is m-quasicentral, $g(mx) + fg(x) = mg(x) + fg(x) \in Z(Q, +)$. Since g is n-quasicentral, $-mnx - mg(x) = -(g(mx) + nmx) \in Z(Q, +)$. Consequently,

$$-mnx + fg(x) = ([-mnx - mg(x)] + mg(x)) + fg(x)$$
$$= [-mnx - mg(x)] + [mg(x) + fg(x)] \in Z(Q, +).$$

Thus, fg is (-mn)-quasicentral, as claimed.

(2) follows immediately from (1).

Lemma 2.5. Assume that (Q, +) is commutative, let $f, g \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$ be m-quasicentral and n-quasicentral, respectively. Then

- 1. -f is (-m)-quasicentral,
- 2. f+q is an (m+n)-quasicentral endomorphism.

In particular, for $f, g \in \mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +), -f, f + g \in \mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +)$.

Proof. (1) is clear. For (2), set z = (-mx - f(x)) + (-my - f(y)) + (-mx - g(x)) + (-my - g(y)). Then $z \in Z(Q, +)$. It follows that

$$z + (f+g)(x+y) = z + ([f(x) + f(y)] + [g(x) + g(y)]) = -mx - my - nx - ny$$
$$= -mx - nx - my - ny = z + ([f(x) + g(x)] + [f(y) + g(y)])$$
$$= z + ((f+g)(x) + (f+g)(y)).$$

Thus $f+g \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q,+)$. Similarly, $(m+n)x+(f+g)(x)=[mx+f(x)]+[nx+g(x)] \in Z(Q,+)$. That is, (2) holds.

Lemma 2.6. Assume that (Q, +) is commutative and let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +)$. Then

- 1. f+g=g+f,
- 2. f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h,
- 3. f + (-f) = 0, and
- 4. f + 0 = f.

Proof. (1), (3), and (4) are obvious. For (2): There exist $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mx + f(x), nx + g(x), kx + h(x) \in Z(Q, +)$. Set y = (-f(x) - mx) + (-g(x) - nx) + (-h(x) - kx). Then $y \in Z(Q, +)$ and y + (f(x) + (g(x) + h(x))) = -(m + n + k)x = y + ((f(x) + g(x)) + h(x)) for all $x \in Q$.

Corollary 2.7. If (Q, +) is commutative, then QEnd(Q, +) is an associative ring with unity.

We conclude this section with a straightforward observation.

Lemma 2.8. Assume that for $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $kx \in Z(Q, +)$ for all $x \in Q$. Then

- 1. Every quasicentral endomorphism is m-central for some $m \in \{0, 1, -1\}$,
- 2. If $f \in \mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +) \cap \mathcal{A}ut(Q, +)$, then $f^{-1} \in \mathcal{QE}nd(Q, +)$.

3. Special endomorphisms of NK-loops

In this section, let (Q, +) be an NK-loop. We denote by N, K, and Z the underlying sets of N(Q, +), K(Q, +), and Z(Q, +), respectively. As noted in §1, Z(Q, +) = Z(N, +) = Z(K, +) and $Z = N \cap K$.

An endomorphism f of (Q, +) will be called *special* if $f(Q) \subset K$, $f|_K$ is a quasicentral endomorphism of (K, +), and $f(N) \subset N$. Then $f|_N$ is a central endomorphism of (N, +) and $f(N) \subset Z$. We denote by $\mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$ the set of special endomorphisms of (Q, +).

Lemma 3.1. Let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$. Then

- 1. $fg \in \mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +),$
- 2. $f+g \in \mathcal{SE}nd(Q,+)$, and f+g=g+f,
- 3. f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h,
- 4. $-f \in \mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +), f + (-f) = 0, and f + 0 = f.$

Proof. For (1), use Lemma 2.4.

For (2): Take $x, y \in Q$. Then x = a + b and y = c + d for some $a, c \in N, b, d \in K$ so that

$$u = (f+g)(x+y) = f(x+y) + g(x+y) = [f(x) + f(y)] + [g(x) + g(y)]$$
$$= [(f(a) + f(b)) + (f(c) + f(d))] + [(g(a) + g(b)) + (g(c) + g(d))]$$

and

$$v = (f+g)(x) + (f+g)(y) = [f(x) + g(x)] + [f(y) + g(y)]$$

= [(f(a) + f(b)) + (g(a) + g(b))] + [(f(c) + f(d)) + (g(c) + g(d))].

The restrictions $f|_N$ and $g|_N$ are central endomorphisms of (N, +), and it follows that $f(N) \cup g(N) \subset Z(N, +) = Z(Q, +)$. Thus, $f(a), f(c), g(a), g(c) \in Z$ and in

order to check that u = v it is sufficient to show that (f(b) + f(d)) + (g(b) + g(d)) = (f(b) + g(b)) + (f(d) + g(d)). However, the latter equality holds, since the restrictions $f|_K$ and $g|_K$ are quasicentral endomorphisms of the commutative loop (K, +) and Corollary 2.7 applies.

We have shown that $f + g \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$. The facts that f + g is special and f + g = g + f are easily seen, using Lemma 2.6 applied to the loop (K, +).

For (3): Using the facts that (Q, +) is an NK-loop and $f(N) \cup g(N) \cup h(N) \subset Z$, it is enough to show that f(u) + (g(u) + h(u)) = (f(u) + g(u)) + h(u) for all $u \in K$. Now we proceed similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Finally, (4) is easy.

Corollary 3.2. $\mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$ is an associative ring (possibly without unity).

An endomorphism f of (Q, +) will be said to satisfy *condition* (F) if

$$-x + f(x) \in K$$
 and $x + f(x) \in N$

for all $x \in Q$. Then $f(K) \subset K$ and $f(N) \subset N$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$ satisfy (F). Define $h : Q \to Q$ by h(x) = -x + f(x) for all $x \in Q$. Then $h \in \mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$.

Proof. First we check that $h \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$. Fix $x, y \in Q$ with x = a + b, y = c + d, $a, c \in N$, $b, d \in K$. Set u = h(x + y), v = h(x) + h(y), and w = (a - f(a)) + (c - f(c)) + (-b - f(b)) + (-d - f(d)). Then $w \in Z$,

$$u = (-y - x) + f(x + y) = ((-d - c) + (-b - a)) + ((f(a) + f(b))) + (f(c) + f(d))$$
 and

$$v = (-x + f(x)) + (-y + f(y)) = (-b - a) + (f(a) + f(b)) + ((-d - c) + (f(c) + f(d))).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} u+w &= \left[(-d-c) + (-b-a) \right] + \left[(a-b) + (c-d) \right] \\ &= \left[(-d-c) - b \right] + \left[-a + (a-b) \right] + (c-d) \\ &= \left[(-d-c) - b \right] + \left[(c-d) - b \right] \\ &= \left[(-d-c) + (c-d) \right] - 2b \\ &= -2d - 2b \\ &= -2(b+d) \\ &= \left[(-b-a) + (a-b) \right] + \left[(-d-c) + (c-d) \right] \\ &= v+w. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, u = v, so that $h \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$, as claimed. Further, it follows immediately from the definition of h that $h(Q) \subset K$ and $h(N) \subset N$ (then $h(N) \subset Z$). Finally, $2a + h(a) = a + f(a) \in Z$ for all $a \in K$, and therefore $h|_K$ is a 2-quasicentral endomorphism of (K, +). Thus $h \in \mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$ satisfy (F). Define $h, k : Q \to Q$ by h(x) = -x + f(x) and k(x) = -x + g(x) for all $x \in Q$. Then hk = kh if and only if fg = gf.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $h \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$, and hence

$$hk(x) = h(-x + g(x)) = -h(x) + hg(x) = (-f(x) + x) + (-g(x) + fg(x)).$$

On the other hand,

$$kh(x) = -h(x) + gh(x) = (-f(x) + x) + (-g(x) + gf(x))$$

by the definition of h and k. The result is now clear.

Lemma 3.5. Let $f, g \in Aut(Q, +)$ satisfy (F). Define $h, k, p, q : Q \to Q$ by h(x) = -x + f(x), k(x) = -x + g(x), $p(x) = -x + f^{-1}(x)$, and $q(x) = -x + g^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in Q$. Then

- 1. $h, k, p, q \in \mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$,
- 2. $hp = ph \ and \ h + p + hp = 0$,
- 3. kq = qk and k + q + kq = 0, and
- 4. If fg = gf, then the endomorphisms h, k, p, q commute pairwise.

Proof. (1) follows from Lemma 3.3.

For (2): We have $ff^{-1} = f^{-1}f$ and hence hp = ph by Lemma 3.4. Now, put A = h + p + hp. Then A is a (special) endomorphism of (Q, +) and $A(x) = [-x+f(x)]+[-x+f^{-1}(x)]+[(-f^{-1}(x)+x)+(-f(x)+x)]$. Clearly, $N \subset \ker(A) (= \{u \in Q : A(u) = 0\})$. On the other hand, if $x \in K$, then $-x+f(x), -x+f^{-1}(x) \in Z$ and the equality A(x) = 0 is clear, too. Thus, $N \cup K \subset \ker(A)$. But (Q, +) is an NK-loop and $\ker(A)$ is a subloop of (Q, +). It follows $\ker(A) = Q$ and A = 0.

(3) is proven similarly to (2).

For (4), combine (2), (3), and Lemma 3.4.

4. The equivalence

We now turn to the proof of the Main Theorem. First, recall the definition of generalized module over a ring R, and observe that the conditions (1), (4), (5), and (6) of the definition imply that for each $a \in R$, the transformation $Q \to Q$; $x \mapsto ax$ is a special endomorphism of (Q, +). Recall also the ring \mathbf{R} , which is the ideal of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$ freely generated by the commuting indeterminates $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}$, and \mathbf{v} .

First, take $(Q, a) \in \mathcal{F}_p$. As described in §1, let (Q, +, f, g, e) be the arithmetic form of the F-quasigroup (Q, \cdot) such that a = 0 in (Q, +). Then $f, g \in \mathcal{A}ut(Q, +)$ satisfy condition (F). Further, define $\varphi, \mu, \psi, \nu : Q \to Q$ by $\varphi(x) = -x + f(x)$, $\mu(x) = -x + f^{-1}(x)$, $\psi(x) = -x + g(x)$, and $\nu(x) = -x + g^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in Q$. By Lemma 3.5, the special endomorphisms φ, ψ, μ , and ν of the loop (Q, +) commute pairwise, and $\varphi + \mu + \varphi \mu = 0 = \psi + \nu + \psi \nu$. Consequently, these endomorphisms generate a commutative subring of the ring $\mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$ (see Corollary 3.2) and there exists a (uniquely determined) homomorphism $\lambda : \mathbf{R} \to \mathcal{SE}nd(Q, +)$ such that $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi, \lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \psi, \lambda(\mathbf{u}) = \mu$, and $\lambda(\mathbf{v}) = \nu$. The homomorphism λ induces

an **R**-scalar multiplication on the loop (Q, +), and the resulting generalized **R**-module will be denoted by \overline{Q} . By Lemma 3.5, $\lambda(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}) = 0 = \lambda(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{v})$, and so $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ann}(Q)$. Also, since f, g satisfy (F), we have $2z + \lambda(\mathbf{x})z = 2z + \varphi(z) = z + f(z) \in N(Q, +)$ and similarly $2z + \lambda(\mathbf{y})z \in N(Q, +)$ for all $z \in Q$. It follows that $\overline{Q} \in \mathcal{M}$. Now define $\rho : \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathcal{M}_n$ by $\rho(Q, a) = (\overline{Q}, e)$, and observe that $(\overline{Q}, e) \in \mathcal{M}_c$ if and only if $e \in Z(Q, +)$.

Next, take $(\overline{Q}, e) \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and define $f, g : Q \to Q$ by $f(z) = z + \mathbf{x}z$ and $g(z) = z + \mathbf{y}z$ for all $z \in Q$. We have $f(x + y) = (x + y) + (\mathbf{x}x + \mathbf{x}y)$ and $f(x) + f(y) = (x + \mathbf{x}x) + (y + \mathbf{x}y)$. Further, $x = u_1 + v_1$, $y = u_2 + v_2$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in N(Q, +)$, $v_1, v_2 \in K(Q, +)$, and hence, $f(x + y) = (u_1 + u_2 + v_1 + v_2) + (\mathbf{x}u_1 + \mathbf{x}u_2 + \mathbf{x}v_1 + \mathbf{x}v_2)$, and $f(x) + f(y) = (u_1 + \mathbf{x}u_1 + v_1 + \mathbf{x}v_1) + (u_2 + \mathbf{x}u_2 + v_1 + \mathbf{x}v_2)$. But $\mathbf{x}u_1, \mathbf{x}u_2 \in Z(Q, +)$, and so in order to show f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), it is enough to check that $(v_1 + v_2) + (\mathbf{x}v_1 + \mathbf{x}v_2) = (v_1 + \mathbf{x}v_1) + (v_2 + \mathbf{x}v_2)$. However, $-2v_1 - \mathbf{x}v_1 \in Z(Q, +)$ and $-2v_2 - \mathbf{x}v_2 \in Z(Q, +)$, and so the latter equality is clear.

We have proven that $f \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$, and the proof that $g \in \mathcal{E}nd(Q, +)$ is similar. Now by definition of generalized module, $-x + f(x) = \mathbf{x}x \in K(Q, +)$ and $-x + g(x) = \mathbf{y}x \in K(Q, +)$ for all $x \in Q$. By definition of \mathcal{M} , $x + f(x) = 2x + \mathbf{x}x \in N(Q, +)$ and $x + g(x) = 2x + \mathbf{y}x \in N(Q, +)$ for all $x \in Q$. This means that both f and g satisfy (F) and it follows from Lemma 3.4 that fg = gf.

Define $h: Q \to Q$ by $h(x) = x + \mathbf{u}x$ for $x \in Q$. We have $\mathbf{u}x + \mathbf{x}x + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}x = 0$, and so $\mathbf{x}x + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}x = -\mathbf{u}x$. Now, $fh(x) = h(x) + \mathbf{x}h(x) = (x + \mathbf{u}x) + (\mathbf{x}x + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}x) = (x + \mathbf{u}x) - \mathbf{u}x = x$ and $fh = \mathrm{Id}_Q$. Similarly, $hf = \mathrm{Id}_Q$ and we see that $f \in \mathcal{A}ut(Q, +)$. Similarly, $g \in \mathcal{A}ut(Q, +)$.

We have that $f, g \in Aut(Q, +)$, and $e \in Q$ satisfy the conditions of the Proposition of §1, and so defining a multiplication on Q by xy = f(x) + e + g(y) for all $x, y \in Q$ gives an F-quasigroup. Define $\sigma : \mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{F}_p$ by $\sigma(\overline{Q}, e) = (Q, 0)$.

It is easy to check that the operators ρ and σ represent an equivalence between \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{M}_n . Further, $0 \in \mathcal{M}(Q)$ if and only if $e \in Z(Q, +)$, so that ρ and σ restrict to an equivalence between \mathcal{F}_m and \mathcal{M}_c . This completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

References

- [1] R. H. Bruck, A Survey of Binary Systems, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [2] R. H. Bruck and L. Paige, Loops in which every inner mapping is an automorphism, *Ann. of Math.* **63** (1956), 308–323.
- [3] T. Kepka, M. K. Kinyon, and J. D. Phillips, The structure of F-quasigroups, submitted, math.GR/0510298.
- [4] R. Moufang, Zur struktur von alternativkorpern, Math. Ann. 110 (1935), 416–430.
- [5] H. O. Pflugfelder, Quasigroups and Loops, Introduction, Helderman-Verlag, 1990.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, MFF UK, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC *E-mail address*: kepka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University South Bend, South Bend, IN $46634~\mathrm{USA}$

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: mkinyon@iusb.edu}$

URL: http://mypage.iusb.edu/~mkinyon

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Wabash College, Crawfordsville, IN $47933~\mathrm{USA}$

E-mail address: phillipj@wabash.edu

 $\mathit{URL} : \verb|http://www.wabash.edu/depart/math/faculty.html#Phillips|$